UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/636,107	08/07/2003	Craig Wilson	380201.91349	9129
26710 QUARLES & F	7590 02/11/200 BRADY LLP	EXAMINER		
411 E. WISCONSIN AVENUE			MCDONALD, SHANTESE L	
SUITE 2040 MILWAUKEE,	, WI 53202-4497		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/636,107	WILSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shantese L. McDonald	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Oo	ctober 2007.					
	action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>6-8,10,11,13,19 and 21-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 6-8,10,11,13,19,,21-24 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
	olosion roquiromenti					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te				

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8,11,13,19,21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jenske in view of Gomas.

Gomas teaches a universal tool having a first lever member pivotally joined to a second lever member about a hinge point, each lever member having an elongated handle and an offset jaw having a rear grip and forward grip separated by an outward extension, each elongated handle has a rear grip separated by an outward extension, the forward grips extend forward from the outward extensions toward the hinge point so as to receive a thumb and forefinger of a user and allow gripping of the handles adjacent the hinge point wherein the forward grips are concave opening in a direction away from a centerline, (the forward grip which is the area located between the outward extension, 8A, and element 7A, in fig. 1, does have a component, the curve, which is considered to be concave in a direction away from the centerline), extending through the hinge point and along the working edges when in a closed position, wherein the rear grip of the handle of the first lever member is generally concave opening toward a centerline extending through the hinge point and along the working edges when in the closed position and wherein the rear grip of the handle of the second lever member has

Application/Control Number: 10/636,107

Art Unit: 3723

a first segment adjacent the outward extension that is concave away from the centerline and a second segment adjacent the first segment that is concave toward the centerline, and the rear grip of the handle of the second lever member has a third segment adjacent the second segment and at an end opposite the hinge point that is concave away from the centerline and defines a finger retaining lip and wherein each of the rear grips defines at least one raised projection on an outer portion thereof adjacent the outward extensions, (fig. 1). Gomas teaches all the limitations of the claims except for the tool being a wire stripper, wherein the tip of each jaw includes an inwardly extending toothed section, the handle of the first lever member intersects the centerline and the handle of the second lever is disposed on the side of the centerline opposite the handle of the first lever member, and the forward grips being defined by a radii within a range of approximately 1 to 2 inches. Haxton teaches a wire stripper, wherein the tip of each jaw includes an inwardly extending toothed section, 25, 26, the handle of the first lever member intersects the centerline and the handle of the second lever is disposed on the side of the centerline opposite the handle of the first lever member, (fig. 3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the tool of Gomas with the above listed limitations, as taught by Haxton, in order to enhance the tools gripping capabilities. It would have been further obvious to provide the tool of Gomas with the forward grips being defined by a radii within a range of approximately 1 to 2 inches, since it has been held that where the general conditions of the claims are disclosed in the prior art discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Page 3

Claims 6,7,10 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gomas as modified by Haxton as applied to claims 8,11,13,19,21 above, and further in view of Jenske and Jackson.

Gomas as modified by Haxton teaches all the limitations of the claims except a hand held wire stripper having a first lever member and a second lever member each with an elongated handle and an offset jaw having a working edge, the first and second lever members being pivotally joined so that the working edges lie adjacent each other when in a closed position, and wherein the working edge of the first lever member includes a first cutting blade section and the working edge of the second lever member includes a second cutting blade section aligned with the first cutting blade, wherein the first and second cutting blade sections each define a plurality of serrations such that the serrations of the first cutting blade section of the first lever member are aligned with the serrations of the second cutting blade section of the second member, and wherein the first and second cutting blade sections each taper from their working edge in at least two oblique angles with respect to the working edges a spring, 20, which biases the handles apart and the tip of each jaw, the first and second cutting blade sections defining two distinct cutting planes, wherein the first and second cutting blade sections define a first acute angle surface adjacent the working edge and a second acute angle surface of an angle greater than the first surface and spaced from the working edge by the first surface. Jenske teaches a hand held wire stripper having a first lever member and a second lever member each with an elongated handle and an offset jaw having a

Application/Control Number: 10/636,107

Page 5

Art Unit: 3723

working edge, the first and second lever members being pivotally joined so that the working edges lie adjacent each other when in a closed position, and wherein the working edge of the first lever member includes a first cutting blade section, 18, and the working edge of the second lever member includes a second cutting blade section, 19, aligned with the first cutting blade, wherein the first and second cutting blade sections each define a plurality of serrations, 40, 41, such that the serrations of the first cutting blade section of the first lever member are aligned with the serrations of the second cutting blade section of the second member, and wherein the first and second cutting blade sections, 34,35, each taper from their working edge in at least two oblique angles with respect to the working edges, (fig. 2), a spring, 20, which biases the handles apart, (col. 3, lines 30-31). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the tool of Gomas in view of Haxton with the above listed limitations, as taught by Jenske, since both inventions teach a wire stripper with first and second lever members. Jackson teaches first, 8, and second, 9, cutting blade sections defining two distinct cutting planes, wherein the first and second cutting blade sections define a first acute angle surface adjacent the working edge and a second acute angle surface of an angle greater than the first surface and spaced from the working edge by the first surface, (fig. 4, col2. lines 38-48). It would have been further obvious to provide the tool of Gomas as modified by Haxton with the above listed limitations, as taught by Jackson, in order to enhance the wire strippers cutting capabilities.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6-8,10,11,13,19 and 21-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shantese L. McDonald whose telephone number is (571) 272-4486. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/636,107 Page 7

Art Unit: 3723

S.L.M. February 3, 2008

/Joseph J. Hail, III/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3723